

# THE SALT LAKE HERALD.

VOLUME XLVII.

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH, WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1893.

NUMBER 184

THE HERALD REACHES MORE HOMES THAN ANY OTHER SALT LAKE NEWSPAPER.

## MONTANA IS DEMOCRATIC.

The House of Representatives Organized by Democrats

## AIDED BY THE POPULISTS.

A Populist Speaker Was Elected by a Majority of Four.

The Legislature on Joint Ballot Will Stand 36 Democrats, 3 Populists and 33 Republicans—The Threatened Split Avoided.

HELENA, Mon., Jan. 3.—[Special.]—The Democrats have secured control of the organization of the house of representatives assuring the election of a Democratic United States Senator. When the twenty-five Republicans and two Populists took an adjournment yesterday after the Democrats had walked out of the house it was to meet again at 5 this afternoon. Last night and this morning there were numerous conferences between the three Populist members and the representatives of the two other parties. The Republicans agreed to give the Populists all the offices in the house and any portion they might want on committees and also pass all their bills provided in return the Populists would vote with them on all election contests.

The Populists refused to entertain any of the propositions for the reason that as the Democrats controlled the senate the thing they most desired, the passage of their bills through both branches of the legislature, the Republicans could not give them.

The Democrats offered the Populists the speakership, the other offices to be divided between the two parties, and to pass certain measures in which the Populists agreed to stand by the Democrats on all political questions save the senate.

When the house met this afternoon the assembly hall was packed to suffocation. Every Democrat, save the sick member, Davidson, was present; and all the Republicans and the three Populists. Matthews, the Populist, who had been put in by the Republican minority on Monday as temporary speaker, occupied the chair. The Republicans knew something was going to happen, but did not know exactly what.

Montell, Republican, immediately moved to adjourn till 9 o'clock tonight. "This was done first to test the strength of the Democrats and also because the certificate of Leach, the Republican, contestant from Choteau, was supposed to be on its way here and would arrive about 7 p. m. The motion to adjourn was voted down by a vote of 23 for to 27 against, the two Populists voting with the Democrats.

Then the Republicans began all sorts of dilatory tactics, but finally a motion to proceed to permanent organization was carried. The Democrats nominated Matthews for speaker and the Republicans Lockey. The vote stood 29 for Matthews and 24 for Lockey.

All the Populists and one Republican voted with the Democrats. For chief clerk the Democratic caucus nominee was elected. Then the house adjourned till tomorrow morning. On joint ballot the legislature will stand, 36 Democrats, 3 Populists, and 33 Republicans.

Tonight the Democrats are jubilant, but they will not relax their vigilance till all election contests are decided. There is also great satisfaction among all classes of people that the threatened split in the legislature has been avoided and that legislative work can now be attended to.

The three leading senatorial candidates, S. T. Houser, W. A. Clark and W. W. Dixon, were all in Helena. To Houser is largely due the Democratic victory today and as a result his senatorial stock is booming. Conservative estimates give him twenty votes in the Democratic caucus to start with, with Clark and Dixon about divided on the other sixteen.

## ILLINOIS LEGISLATURE.

A Very Warm Contest Over the Office in Both Houses.

SPRINGFIELD, Ill., Jan. 3.—The hotels are crowded with members of the legislature and prominent politicians gathered here to witness the event in this state of the assembling of a Democratic legislature. The fight for offices in the gift of the legislature grows hotter, and some bad feeling is engendered, particularly in the senate, where two Democratic factions are striving for supremacy which gives control of the organization and committee chairmanships. Causes of both parties of both houses are set for tonight, and it is likely some of them will last till midnight. The house Democrats will name Clayton E. Crafts for speaker.

Democratic members of the house met in caucus tonight. Caleb C. Johnson was selected for temporary speaker, Robert W. Ross for clerk of the house. Honorable Edgar C. Hawley was unanimously nominated for permanent speaker in the Republican caucus. Representative Warder for temporary speaker. Democratic senators in caucus nominated Senator Comptroller for president pro tem by acclamation, and a full list was nominated for minor offices. The Republican senators in caucus nominated Senator H. H. Evans for president pro tem and filled out the honorary list.

## CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE.

The Republicans Organize the Senate, the Democrats the House.

SACRAMENTO, Jan. 3.—The California legislature assembled today, the Republicans organizing the senate by electing Senator E. B. Carpenter, of Los Angeles, president pro tem, and the Democrats organizing the assembly with F. H. Gould, of Merced, as speaker, and George Peckham, of Santa Clara, chief clerk. Governor Mackinnon's address was not presented and no business was transacted today.

## DELAWARE'S ASSEMBLY.

DOVER, Del., Jan. 3.—Both houses of the general assembly organized this morning by election of the caucus nominees. Governor Reynolds' message was then presented and read in both houses. It deals almost entirely with state affairs.

## THE TREASURY PORTFOLIO.

Senator Carlisle Said to Have Been Tendered the Place.

## MORE THAN HALF A MILLION.

That Was the Net Increase in the Public Debt in December.

## THE SILVER BRICK CASE.

It Is Again Up in the United States Supreme Court.

Ex-Archbishop General Garland Wants It Advanced—The December Report—Bushman's Mission to Panama—Washington Notes.

## MISSOURI LEGISLATURE.

The Democracy are a Unit in Favor of Senator Cockrell.

JEFFERSON CITY, Mo., Jan. 3.—The legislature of Missouri will meet tomorrow in biennial session, but without any matters sensational or of great general interest to come before it. The senate and house are both heavily Democratic. In the matter of the United States senatorship there is little interest as the Democrats are practically a unit in favor of the incumbent of the expiring term, Francis M. Cockrell.

## THE IDAHO TEST OATH.

A Bill for Its Repeal Introduced in the Legislature.

A Motion to Suspend the Rules and Pass It to a Second Reading Is Defeated—The Senate Organized.

BOISE, Ida., Jan. 3.—[Special.]—In the house today a bill was introduced by Senator, Republican, of Casia county, to amend what is commonly called the Mormon test oath, providing qualifications for voters. The bill provides for the elimination of the clause, "That since the 1st day of January, A. D. 1838, and since I have been 18 years of age, I have not been a bigamist or polygamist," and a change in the phraseology of other portions of the oath by substituting the following:

"That I am not a bigamist or polygamist, nor am I living what is known as a patriarchal, plural, or celestial marriage, or in violation of any law of this or of the United States forbidding any such crime, nor do I in any manner teach, advise, counsel, aid or encourage any person to enter into bigamy, polygamy, etc., or to live in violation of such law, or to commit any such crime. Nor am I a member of or a contributor to the support, aid or encouragement of any order, organization, association, corporation or society which teaches, advises, counsels, encourages or aids any person to enter into bigamy, polygamy, patriarchal or plural marriages, or which teaches or advocates that the tenets of the state which prescribed rules of civil conduct are not the supreme conduct of the state."

A motion to suspend section 15, article 3 of the constitution and pass the bill to second reading, requiring a two-thirds vote was lost by a total vote of 22 to 139. It is evident the bill will not pass both the house and senate, however, as the leaders of all political parties are making overtures for the Mormon vote. The bill, if passed, will eliminate the retroactive feature of the test oath and will permit anyone to vote who does not now practice or teach polygamy, or belong to a church that now teaches the same.

In the senate a permanent organization was effected, the Democrats and Populists dividing positions. A. E. Mayhew of Shoshone, was elected president pro tem; J. H. Wickham, secretary; George A. Williams, sergeant-at-arms; Crosswell, door-keeper; Rev. D. M. Reynolds, chaplain.

## CHOLERA IN THE PEN.

The Mysterious Disease in the Little Rock Penitentiary Proves to Be Cholera.

LITTLE ROCK, Ark., Jan. 3.—There are now new cases at the penitentiary today and a dozen patients have been discharged from the hospital. The mayor has considered the advisability of notifying the convict lessees that he would arrest and remove to the city all convicts who have been discharged from the penitentiary, but a consultation of physicians has decided on the danger of contagion from cholera patients in the penitentiary and the mayor has withdrawn his proclamation temporarily. The convict lessees say they have a right to put men on the streets in defiance of the mayor's orders, and will continue to do so. The mayor insists that he will march at the head of his entire police force and arrest every convict on the streets if necessary to protect the city.

The last two convicts who died on Sunday night remained in the prison dead house until last evening on account of the arrest of the prison officials on Saturday morning by the city police. They were finally buried in the potter's field at Oakland cemetery. Further trouble is expected from this on.

## UNION PACIFIC BONDS.

A Large Sale Concluded in Boston on Yesterday.

BOSTON, Jan. 3.—The news bureau says: A syndicate of American and foreign bankers have traded with the trustees of the Union Pacific guarantee 6 per cent. notes for all Oregon Short Line and Utah Northern consolidated 5 per cent. bonds, held in trust. About \$4,000,000 worth of these bonds were pledged under the famous refunding of the Union Pacific floating debt of 1891, when \$15,530,000 three year notes were issued. This sale of these notes will reduce the three year notes to \$13,000,000 and with other sales in contemplation the three year notes should be reduced in a few weeks below \$10,000,000. These sales of Union Pacific bonds are the result of recent investigations into the physical and financial condition of the Union Pacific property which by several experts is pronounced better than for many years past.

## DOES MOLINS CLOTHING FIRM ASSIGN.

DOZ MOINES, Jan. 3.—Hirsch Brothers, clothing, were attached this morning for \$34,000. Other attachments have since been filed. It is believed they will foot up not less than \$100,000, while the assets may not exceed \$50,000. Leopold Hirsch, head of the firm, died on Wednesday.

## PRIZE TO PROFESSOR BARNARD.

LICK OBSERVATORY, Cal., Jan. 3.—Notification has been received that the La Lande prize of the Paris academy of science was awarded Professor Barnard, Dec. 19, for his work on the spectrum of hydrogen.

## FRANKFORT, Ky., Jan. 3.—It is reported on reliable authority that John G. Carlisle has concluded to accept the sec-

## CHINESE UNDER THE PRETENSE THAT IT WOULD BE USED TO EMPLOY COUNSEL TO CONTEST THE LAW.

CARTER IS INTERVIEWED.

## BE CONSIDERS THE ELECTION OF SEVERAL DEMOCRATIC SENATORS.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 3.—Chairman Carter, of the Republican national committee, was at the capitol under subpoena from the Judiciary Committee of the Senate on charges made against Louis McComas, who was nominated judge of the supreme court of the District of Columbia. Talking about the probable outcome of the senatorial contests now being held in the northwest, Mr. Carter said: "In my own state the result is still doubtful but with chances in favor of the Democrats."

In Wyoming the Democrats and Populists together have control of the lower branch of the legislature, and there are two contested cases which I suppose will be decided against the Republicans, thus securing a majority on joint ballot, which will probably be used to elect a Democratic senator.

In California the legislature is against us. In Kansas and Nebraska there is a chance that we will be able to elect our candidates, but there is considerable doubt about it.

## MR. BLAINE'S CONDITION.

HE HAS SUFFERED A RELAPSE, BUT IT IS NOT ALARMING.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 3.—The report that Blaine has suffered another relapse and his condition has assumed an alarming aspect is not sustained by inquiries. On account of the peculiar organic disease Blaine, in his present weak condition, is susceptible to the slightest changes in the weather and the various changes have a depressing effect upon his spirits, but of short duration. Blaine, it is said, appeared unusually bright this morning and showed especial interest in the doings of the senate. The family share the belief of Dr. Johnston that the ex-senator is maintaining the steady improvement noted in his condition during the past week.

## COL. LAMONT TALKS.

HE DENIES MANY RUNNERS ABOUT THE PRESIDENT ELECT.

Mr. Cleveland Is Not Interfering in Senatorial Elections, but He Has the Right to Speak as a Citizen of New York.

NEW YORK, Jan. 3.—An interview with Colonel Daniel Lamont regarding President-elect Cleveland's movements in politics, will appear in the Herald tomorrow. It is as follows:

"What foundation is there for the published reports of Mr. Cleveland interfering in senatorial contests in the various states legislatures and endeavoring to dictate the election of his own friends," was asked.

"It is time," answered Colonel Lamont, "that friends of Cleveland took some notice of this falsehood. It is a falsehood and was started with malice. I can state authoritatively that Mr. Cleveland has not dictated, interfered or even suggested the election of any one in the states in which there is a Democratic legislature and where contests are being made. One of the objects of the charge, I presume, may be to locate the force of public objection to Mr. Cleveland in the states in which he is not a citizen."

"The impression that is sought to be conveyed was that he endeavored to dictate the personnel of the Senate."

"Mr. Cleveland," expressed himself about these reports?

"He has, most emphatically. It was reported that Mr. Cleveland was in favor of the election of Mr. Root, a Republican, as against ex-judge White, because Mr. Root was a relative of one of Mr. Cleveland's friends. The report is not true, and Mr. Root's friends are quickly followed by the publication of the charge. Mr. Cleveland is annoyed by inquiries as to whether he is doing this thing. I receive many letters asking if the report is true. I may say that in many of the states Mr. Cleveland does not know who are the candidates for senatorial honors, except through general reports."

"New York State," he said, "if he would tell Mr. Cleveland's attitude toward those who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a Republican, and who might be looked upon as having been anti-Cleveland. He shook his head at first, and then asked as to the truth of the story which is at issue in politics, the effect that a prominent anti-Cleveland Democrat from up-state called on Colonel Lamont and asked him about the treatment of his friend, Mr. Root, who was a